

Vertical line on the left side of the page.

Horizontal line 1

Horizontal line 2



( )

( )

\_\_\_\_\_

:



:






Blank page with a vertical line on the left and two horizontal lines near the top.

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 






( )



( )



( )

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

( )

Vertical line on the left side of the page.

)

(

.( ( ) )

:

/ ■  
■  
■  
■

)  
(

( )

( )

Horizontal lines at the bottom of the page.



( )

Blank area with horizontal lines.



- : )  
(  
- : )  
(  
- : )  
(

: )  
( / -  
- : )  
- : )  
(  
. (

. ( - ) ( )

. ( )

. ( )

:  
. ( )

) Nam Theun II

(

( )  
( )  
. ( ) ( )

( )

( ) :

Nam Theun II

Lao PDR


Nam Theun II

( ) :

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

( ) :

) Indus (



---

---

( ) ( / ) -



( )

( - )  
( - )



( )



- *Canada, Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office (1987) Determining the Scope of Environmental Impact Assessments. FEARO Regional Office, Vancouver.*
- *Canter L and Clark R (1997) Scoping in the EIA Process in the United States in Report of the EIA Process Strengthening Workshop. (pp. 31-47). Environment Protection Agency, Canberra.*
- *New Zealand, Ministry for the Environment (1992) Scoping of Environmental Effects. Ministry for the Environment, Wellington.*
- *OECD/DAC (1994) Towards Coherence in Environmental Assessment. Results of the Project on Coherence of Environmental Assessment for International Bilateral Aid. Vol. 2. Canadian International Development Agency, Ottawa.*
- *Sadler B (1997) EIA Process Strengthening - Perspectives and Priorities in Report of the EIA Process Strengthening Workshop. (pp. 1-29). Environment Protection Agency, Canberra.*
- *US Council on Environmental Quality (1981) Memorandum: Scoping Guidance. Council on Environmental Quality, Washington. D.C.*
- *World Bank (1996) Analysis of Alternatives in Environmental Assessment. Environmental Assessment Sourcebook Update No. 17. Environment Department World Bank, Washington. D.C.*

- 
- *European Commission (1995) Scoping in Environmental Impact Assessment—A Practical Guide. Directorate General for Environment, Nuclear Safety and Civil Protection, Brussels.*
  - *European Commission (1996) Environmental Impact Assessment. Guidance on Scoping. Directorate General for Environment, Nuclear Safety and Civil Protection, Brussels.*
  - *Jones C (1999) Screening, Scoping and Consideration of Alternatives in Petts J (ed) Handbook of Environmental Impact Assessment. (Vol. 1, pp. 201-228). Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, UK.*

- *Netherlands, Commission for Environmental Impact Assessment (1994) EIA Methodology: Scoping of Alternatives – A Study Based on Ten Representative Cases. Commission for Environmental Impact Assessment, Utrecht.*
  - *Sadler B (1996) Environmental Assessment in a Changing World. Final Report of the International Study of the Effectiveness of Environmental Assessment. Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency and International Association for Impact Assessment, Ottawa.*
  - *Scott Wilson Ltd. (1996) Environmental Impact Assessment: Issues, Trends and Practice. Environment and Economics Unit, UNEP, Nairobi.*
  - *World Bank (1995) Environmental Assessment Challenges and Good Practice. Paper No. 018. Environment Division, Washington D.C.*
  - *World Bank (1991) Environmental Assessment Sourcebook. Volume 1. World Bank Technical Paper No. 139, Washington, D.C.*
-

( )

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

•

\_\_\_\_\_

: -

:

)

(

:

:

(

)

:

:

(

)

□

.

□

:

..

:

□

)

-

-

( -

□

□

□

)

□

( -

: -

:

:

:

:

.( )

:

:

( )

:

( )



( )

( )  
( )  
( )

•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•



•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•



•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•



•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•  
•



